

Quaternionic connections, induced holomorphic structures and a vanishing theorem

LIANA DAVID

September 8, 2008

Abstract: We classify the holomorphic structures of the tangent vertical bundle Θ of the twistor fibration of a quaternionic manifold (M, Q) of dimension $4n \geq 8$. In particular, we show that any self-dual quaternionic connection D of (M, Q) induces an holomorphic structure $\bar{\partial}^D$ on Θ . We construct a Penrose transform which identifies solutions of the Penrose operator P^D on (M, Q) defined by D with the space of $\bar{\partial}^D$ -holomorphic purely imaginary sections of Θ . We prove that the tensor powers Θ^s (for any $s \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$) have no global non-trivial $\bar{\partial}^D$ -holomorphic sections, when (M, Q) is compact and has a compatible quaternionic-Kähler metric of negative (respectively, zero) scalar curvature and the quaternionic connection D is closed (respectively, closed but not exact).¹

Key words and phrases: quaternionic manifolds, twistor spaces, Penrose transforms, Penrose operators.

Mathematical Subject Classification: 53C26, 53C28, 53C15.

1 Introduction

An almost quaternionic structure on a manifold M of dimension $4n \geq 8$ is a rank three subbundle Q of $\text{End}(TM)$ locally generated by three almost complex structures which satisfy the quaternionic relations. The bundle Q

¹**Affiliation:** *Present:* Centro di Ricerca Matematica “Ennio de Giorgi”, Scuola Normale Superiore, Piazza dei Cavalieri nr. 3, Pisa, Italia; e-mail: l.david@sns.it; *Permanent:* Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy “Simion Stoilow”, Calea Grivitei nr. 21, Sector 1, Bucharest, Romania; e-mail: liana.david@imar.ro

has a natural Euclidian metric, with respect to which any such system of almost complex structures is orthonormal. Q is called a quaternionic structure if it is preserved by a torsion-free linear connection on M , called a quaternionic connection. A quaternionic manifold is a manifold together with a fixed quaternionic structure.

One of the main techniques to study quaternionic manifolds is provided by twistor theory. The twistor space Z of a quaternionic manifold (M, Q) is the total space of the unit sphere bundle of Q , or the set of complex structures of tangent spaces of M which belong to Q . It has a natural integrable almost complex structure which makes Z a complex manifold. Of interest in this paper is the tangent vertical bundle Θ of the twistor fibration $\pi : Z \rightarrow M$, which is a hermitian complex line bundle over Z . We show that any quaternionic connection D on (M, Q) defines a hermitian connection ∇ on Θ , which is a Chern connection if and only if D is self-dual, i.e. the curvature of connection on $\Lambda^{4n}(T^*M)$ induced by D is Q -hermitian (see Proposition 1). When D is self-dual, the $(0, 1)$ -part $\bar{\partial}^D$ of ∇ is a real holomorphic structure of Θ , where by "real" we mean that the space of $\bar{\partial}^D$ -holomorphic sections of Θ is invariant under the canonical anti-holomorphic involution of Z , defined as the antipodal map along the fibers of π (lifted to Θ). However, the complex line bundle Θ admits holomorphic structures which are not necessarily real. Our first main result is Theorem 3 of Section 3, and represents a classification of all holomorphic structures of Θ , in terms of self-dual quaternionic connections on (M, Q) and 1-forms on M with Q -hermitian exterior derivative. This result is analogous to Theorem 1 of [6], which classifies the holomorphic structures of the tangent vertical bundle of the twistor fibration of a conformal self-dual 4-manifold, in terms of self-dual Weyl connections and Maxwell fields on the conformal 4-manifold. As shown in [6], the tangent vertical bundle of the twistor fibration of a conformal self-dual 4-manifold has a canonical class of equivalent holomorphic structures, defined by the Levi-Civita connections of the metrics in the conformal class. Corollary 4 of Section 3 represents a similar result in the quaternionic context. In the last two sections – Section 4 and Section 5 – we turn our attention to the holomorphic sections of Θ (and, more generally, of its positive tensor powers) with respect to the holomorphic structures $\bar{\partial}^D$. More precisely, in Section 4 we construct a Penrose transform, which identifies the $\bar{\partial}^D$ -holomorphic purely imaginary sections of Θ with the kernel of the Penrose operator P^D of (M, Q) defined by a self-dual quaternionic connection D (see Proposition 5). In Section 5 we prove that the Penrose operator P^D has no global non-trivial solutions when (M, Q) is compact and admits a compatible quaternionic-Kähler metric g of negative or zero scalar curvature, and D is related to the Levi-Civita connection of g in a suitable way (see Theorem

9). As a consequence of Theorem 9 and of the Penrose transform, we deduce that if (M, Q, g) is compact quaternionic-Kähler and D is a quaternionic connection on (M, Q) such that D is closed and $\text{Scal}^g < 0$ (respectively, D is closed but not exact and $\text{Scal}^g = 0$), then Θ has no global non-trivial $\bar{\partial}^D$ -holomorphic sections (see Corollary 10). Similar results hold for all positive tensor powers of Θ (see the end of Section 5). In the context of conformal self-dual 4-manifolds, this theory has been developed in [6]. Corollary 10 has been proved in [9], using different methods. Other Penrose transforms and vanishing theorems on quaternionic-Kähler manifolds have been developed in [5] and [8], where Θ (eventually coupled with the pull-back of a Yang-Mills field on M) was considered with its canonical class of equivalent holomorphic structures. In Sections 4 and 5 we generalise some results of [8], by considering Θ endowed with an holomorphic structure which does not necessarily belong to the canonical class of holomorphic structures of Θ .

2 Basic facts on quaternionic manifolds

In this preliminary section we recall some basic facts we shall need about quaternionic manifolds (quaternionic connections and twistor spaces of quaternionic manifolds). We follow the treatment of [2] and [4] (Chapter 14, Section G). All our quaternionic manifolds will be connected and of dimension $4n \geq 8$. For a manifold M , TM , T^*M and $\Omega^k(M)$ will denote the real tangent bundle of M , the real cotangent bundle of M and the space of smooth real-valued k -forms on M , respectively. For a vector bundle $V \rightarrow M$, $\Omega^k(M, V)$ will denote the space of smooth k -forms on M with values in V . In our conventions, the curvature R^∇ of a connection ∇ acting on V is defined by $R_{X,Y}^\nabla s := \nabla_X \nabla_Y s - \nabla_Y \nabla_X s - \nabla_{[X,Y]} s$, where X, Y are vector fields on M and s is a smooth section of V .

2.1 Quaternionic connections

Let (M, Q) be a quaternionic manifold and D a quaternionic connection on (M, Q) . Any other quaternionic connection D' is related to D by $D'_X = D_X + S_X^\alpha$, where $\alpha \in \Omega^1(M)$ and

$$S_X^\alpha := \alpha(X) \text{Id}_{TM} + \alpha \otimes X - \sum_{i=1}^3 [\alpha(J_i X) J_i + (\alpha \circ J_i) \otimes J_i X], \quad X \in TM. \quad (1)$$

Here “ Id_{TM} ” denotes the identity endomorphism of TM and $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ is an admissible basis of Q , i.e. a system of locally defined almost complex

structures which satisfy the quaternionic relations and generate Q . The connections D and D' are *equivalent* if α is an exact 1-form. We say that D is *closed* (respectively, *exact*) if it induces a flat connection on $\Lambda^{4n}(T^*M)$ (respectively, if there is a volume form on M preserved by D). There always exist exact quaternionic connections on (M, Q) : using relation (1), one can check that $D'_X \underline{\text{vol}} = D_X \underline{\text{vol}} - 4(n+1)\alpha(X)\underline{\text{vol}}$, where $\underline{\text{vol}}$ is an arbitrary volume form on M ; if $D_X \underline{\text{vol}} = \omega(X)\underline{\text{vol}}$ for a 1-form $\omega \in \Omega^1(M)$, then the quaternionic connection $D' := D + S^\alpha$ with $\alpha := \frac{1}{4(n+1)}\omega$ is exact, because $\underline{\text{vol}}$ is D' -parallel. Equally easy can be shown that any two exact quaternionic connections are equivalent. The family of exact quaternionic connections forms the *canonical class of equivalent quaternionic connections of (M, Q)* . We shall meet a third class of connections, the so called *self-dual* quaternionic connections; a quaternionic connection is self-dual if the induced connection on the bundle $\Lambda^{4n}(T^*M)$ has Q -hermitian curvature, i.e. its curvature is invariant with respect to any complex structure which belongs to Q .

A quaternionic curvature tensor of (M, Q) is a curvature tensor R of M (i.e. a section of $\Lambda^2(T^*M) \otimes \text{End}(TM)$ in the kernel of the Bianchi map) which takes values in the normalizer of Q , i.e. for any $X, Y \in TM$, $[R_{X,Y}, Q] \subset Q$. The space $\mathcal{R}(N(Q))$ of quaternionic curvature tensors decomposes into the direct sum $\mathcal{W} \oplus \mathcal{R}^{\text{Bil}}$ where \mathcal{W} , called the space of quaternionic Weyl curvatures, is the kernel of the Ricci contraction $\text{Ricci} : \mathcal{R}(N(Q)) \rightarrow \text{Bil}(TM)$, defined by $\text{Ricci}(R)_{X,Y} := \text{trace}\{Z \rightarrow R_{Z,X}Y\}$ and \mathcal{R}^{Bil} is isomorphic to the space $\text{Bil}(TM)$ of bilinear forms on TM , by means of the isomorphism which associates to $\eta \in \text{Bil}(TM)$ the quaternionic curvature

$$R_{X,Y}^\eta := (\eta(Y, X) - \eta(X, Y)) \text{Id}_{TM} - \eta_X \otimes Y + \eta_Y \otimes X - \sum_{i=1}^3 [(\eta(Y, J_i X) - \eta(X, J_i Y)) J_i + (\eta_Y \circ J_i) \otimes J_i X - (\eta_X \circ J_i) \otimes J_i Y],$$

where $X, Y \in TM$ and $\eta_X := \eta(X, \cdot)$, $\eta_Y := \eta(Y, \cdot)$. Hence the curvature of any quaternionic connection D decomposes as $R^D = W + R^\eta$, where $W \in \mathcal{W}$, called the quaternionic Weyl tensor, is an invariant of the quaternionic structure (i.e. is independent of the choice of quaternionic connection) and satisfies

$$[W_{X,Y}, A] = 0, \quad X, Y \in TM, \quad A \in Q.$$

With respect to an admissible basis $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ of Q ,

$$[R_{X,Y}^D, J_i] = \Omega_k(X, Y) J_j - \Omega_j(X, Y) J_k, \quad (2)$$

where (i, j, k) is a cyclic permutation of $(1, 2, 3)$ and, for any $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and

$X, Y \in TM$,

$$\Omega_i(X, Y) = -\frac{1}{2n} \text{tr} (J_i R_{X,Y}^D) = 2 (\eta(X, J_i Y) - \eta(Y, J_i X)). \quad (3)$$

The bilinear form η is related to the Ricci tensor $\text{Ricci}(R^D)$ of D in the following way (see [2], p. 223)

$$\eta = \frac{1}{4(n+1)} \text{Ricci}(R^D)^{\text{skew}} + \frac{1}{4n} \text{Ricci}(R^D)^{\text{sym}} - \frac{1}{2n(n+2)} P_h (\text{Ricci}(R^D)^{\text{sym}}), \quad (4)$$

where “sym” and “skew” denote the symmetric, respectively skew-symmetric parts of a bilinear form and P_h is the projection

$$P_h(\eta) := \frac{1}{4} \left(\eta + \sum_{i=1}^3 \eta(J_i \cdot, J_i \cdot) \right)$$

of $\text{Bil}(TM)$ onto the space of Q -hermitian bilinear forms. We mention that in general, $\text{Ricci}(R^D)$ is not symmetric. More precisely, $\text{Ricci}(R^D)^{\text{skew}}$ is half of the curvature of the connection induced by D on the canonical bundle $\Lambda^{4n}(T^*M)$ (see [2], p. 222 and p. 224), so that D has symmetric Ricci tensor (respectively, the skew part of the Ricci tensor of D is Q -hermitian) if and only if D is a closed (respectively, self-dual) quaternionic connection. Finally, we remark that if $D' = D + S^\alpha$, then the Ricci tensors of D and D' are related by the following formulas (see [2], p. 263)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ricci}(R^{D'})^{\text{sym}} &= \text{Ricci}(R^D)^{\text{sym}} + 4n \left(\alpha \otimes \alpha - \sum_{i=1}^3 (\alpha \circ J_i) \otimes (\alpha \circ J_i) - (D\alpha)^{\text{sym}} \right) \\ &\quad + 8P_h \left(\alpha \otimes \alpha - \sum_{i=1}^3 (\alpha \circ J_i) \otimes (\alpha \circ J_i) - (D\alpha)^{\text{sym}} \right) \\ \text{Ricci}(R^{D'})^{\text{skew}} &= \text{Ricci}(R^D)^{\text{skew}} - 4(n+1)d\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

2.2 Twistor theory of quaternionic manifolds

The twistor space of a quaternionic manifold: As mentioned in the Introduction, the twistor space Z of (M, Q) , defined as the total space of the unit sphere bundle of Q , has a natural complex structure. In order to define it, we first consider a twistor line Z_p , i.e the fiber of the natural projection $\pi : Z \rightarrow M$ corresponding to a point $p \in M$. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the natural Euclidian metric of the bundle Q . Then T_{JZ_p} consists of all J -anti-linear endomorphisms of $T_p M$ which belong Q_p , or to the orthogonal complement

J^\perp of J in Q_p , with respect to the metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Note that Z_p is a Kähler manifold: it has a complex structure \mathcal{J} , defined as $\mathcal{J}(A) := J \circ A$, for any $A \in T_J Z_p$, and a compatible Riemannian metric, induced from the metric of Q_p , since $T_J Z_p \subset Q_p$. Now we are able to define the complex structure \mathcal{J} of Z : chose a quaternionic connection D of (M, Q) . Since D preserves Q and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, it induces a connection on the twistor bundle $\pi : Z \rightarrow M$, i.e. a decomposition of every tangent space $T_J Z$ into the vertical tangent space $T_J Z_p$ and horisontal space Hor_J . On Hor_J , identified with $T_p M$ by means of the differential π_* , \mathcal{J} is equal to J . On $T_J Z_p$, \mathcal{J} is defined as above. It can be shown that \mathcal{J} so defined is independent of the choice of quaternionic connection and is integrable. The twistor space Z becomes a complex manifold of dimension $2n + 1$ and the twistor lines are complex projective lines of Z with normal bundle $\mathbb{C}^{2n} \otimes \mathcal{O}(1)$.

The tangent vertical bundle Θ : The tangent vertical bundle Θ of the twistor projection $\pi : Z \rightarrow M$ is the bundle over Z whose fiber at a point $J \in Z$ is the tangent space $T_J Z_p$ at the twistor line Z_p defined by $\pi(J) = p$. It is a complex line bundle over the complex manifold Z , with complex structure of the fibers defined by the complex structure of the twistor lines. Moreover, it has a canonical hermitian metric $h(X, Y) := \frac{1}{2} (\langle X, Y \rangle - i \langle \mathcal{J}X, Y \rangle)$, for any $X, Y \in \Theta_J = T_J Z_p \subset Q_p$. Due to this, there is an isomorphism between Chern connections of Θ (i.e. hermitian connections with \mathcal{J} -invariant curvature) and holomorphic structures of Θ , i.e. operators

$$\bar{\partial} : \Gamma(\Theta) \rightarrow \Omega^{0,1}(Z, \Theta)$$

which satisfy the Liebniz rule

$$\bar{\partial}(fs) = f\bar{\partial}(s) + \bar{\partial}(f)s, \quad f \in C^\infty(Z, \mathbb{C}), \quad s \in \Gamma(\Theta)$$

and whose natural extension to the complex $\Omega^{0,*}(Z, \Theta)$ satisfies $\bar{\partial}^2 = 0$. The isomorphism associates to a Chern connection ∇ its $(0, 1)$ -part

$$\bar{\partial}_U s := \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_U s + \mathcal{J} \nabla_{\mathcal{J}U} s), \quad U \in TZ, \quad s \in \Gamma(\Theta).$$

Hence the study of holomorphic structures of Θ reduces to the study of Chern connections.

Distinguished sections of Θ : Note that any section $A \in \Gamma(Q)$ defines a section \tilde{A} of Θ , by the formula:

$$\tilde{A}(J) = \Pi_J(A) := \frac{1}{2} (A + J \circ A \circ J) = A - \langle A, J \rangle J, \quad J \in Z,$$

where the bundle homomorphism $\Pi : \pi^*Q \rightarrow \Theta$ is the orthogonal projection onto $\Theta \subset \pi^*Q$ with respect to the metric of π^*Q induced by the natural Euclidian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of Q . Such sections of Θ will be called *distinguished*. The differential $\sigma_* : TZ \rightarrow TZ$ of the antipodal map $\sigma : Z \rightarrow Z$, $\sigma(J) = -J$ induces an involution on the space of smooth sections of Θ , which associates to a section s the section \bar{s} defined as follows: for any $J \in Z$, $\bar{s}_J := \sigma_*(s_{\sigma(J)})$. If $s := \tilde{A}$ is distinguished, then $\bar{s} = -s$. This is why the distinguished sections are also called *purely imaginary*. Moreover, $\mathcal{J}s$ is *real*, i.e. $\overline{\mathcal{J}s} = \mathcal{J}s$. The distinguished sections of Θ will play a fundamental role in our treatment.

3 Holomorphic structures on Θ

In this section, we adapt the arguments used in [6] (Sections II2, II4, II5) to the quaternionic context. To keep our text short, we refer to [6] whenever the analogy is straightforward.

Consider a quaternionic connection D on (M, Q) . Then π^*D is a connection on the pull-back bundle π^*Q and $\nabla := \Pi \circ \pi^*D$ is a connection on Θ . Since D preserves $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, the connection ∇ preserves the Euclidian metric of Θ . Like in [6], one shows that ∇ is \mathbb{C} -linear, i.e. that $\nabla \mathcal{J} = 0$, where \mathcal{J} denotes the complex structure of the fibers of Θ .

Proposition 1. *The connection ∇ is a Chern connection if and only if D is self-dual.*

Proof. For any distinguished section \tilde{A} of Θ and $U \in T_JZ$, with $\pi_*U = X \in T_pM$,

$$\nabla_U \tilde{A} = \Pi_J(D_X A) - \langle J, A \rangle v^{\bar{D}}(U). \quad (5)$$

Here $\Pi_J(D_X A)$ is the orthogonal projection of $D_X A \in Q_p$ onto $J^\perp = \Theta_J$ and $v^{\bar{D}}(U) \in T_JZ_p = \Theta_J$ denotes the vertical part of U with respect to the connection \bar{D} induced by D on the twistor bundle $\pi : Z \rightarrow M$. From relation (5) we obtain, like in [6] (see p. 585 and Appendix A), the following expression of the curvature R^∇ :

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}}^\nabla A &= \Pi_J([R_{X,Y}^D, A]) \\ R_{B,C}^\nabla A &= -\Omega_p(B, C)\mathcal{J}(A) \\ R_{\tilde{X}, B}^\nabla A &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in T_JZ$ are \bar{D} -horizontal lifts of $X, Y \in T_pM$, $B, C \in T_JZ_p$, $A \in \Theta_J$, $\Pi_J : Q_p \rightarrow J^\perp = \Theta_J$ is the orthogonal projection and Ω_p is the Kähler form of the twistor line Z_p , which is obviously \mathcal{J} -invariant. Hence ∇

is a Chern connection if and only if the horizontal part of R^∇ is \mathcal{J} -invariant, i.e. for every $J \in Z$ and $A \in Q$ with $A \perp J$,

$$\Pi_J([R_{JX \wedge JY - X \wedge Y}^D, A]) = 0. \quad (6)$$

In order to study condition (6), we take an admissible basis $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ of Q with $J = J_1$, so that $A = \lambda_2 J_2 + \lambda_3 J_3$ for some $\lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then Π_J becomes the projection onto the subspace generated by J_2 and J_3 . Recall now that $R^D = W + R^\eta$, for some $\eta \in \text{Bil}(TM)$ and that the quaternionic Weyl tensor W commutes with the endomorphisms of Q . Using relations (2) and (3), we easily obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{J_1}([R_{J_1 X \wedge J_1 Y - X \wedge Y}^D, A]) &= (\Omega_1(J_1 X, J_1 Y) - \Omega_1(X, Y)) J_1 A \\ &= -4(\eta^{\text{skew}}(J_1 X, Y) + \eta^{\text{skew}}(X, J_1 Y)) J_1 A. \end{aligned}$$

Using relation (4) we deduce that (6) holds if and only if $\text{Ricci}(R^D)^{\text{skew}}$ is Q -hermitian, i.e. D is a self-dual quaternionic connection. \square

Self-dual quaternionic connections exist on any quaternionic manifold. If D is a self-dual quaternionic connection, then any other self-dual quaternionic connection is of the form $D' = D + S^\alpha$, with $d\alpha$ Q -hermitian. The way the Chern connections of Θ determined by two self-dual quaternionic connections of (M, Q) are related is described in the following proposition.

Proposition 2. *Let $D, D' = D + S^\alpha$ be two self-dual quaternionic connections. Then the Chern connections ∇ and ∇' induced by D and D' are related as follows:*

$$\nabla' = \nabla + 2\mathcal{J}(\pi^* \alpha) \otimes \mathcal{J}.$$

Proof. Fix an arbitrary $J \in Z$ and an admissible basis $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ of Q with $J = J_1$. Any $A \in \Theta_J$ is of the form $\lambda_2 J_2 + \lambda_3 J_3$, for some $\lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \mathbb{R}$. For every $U \in T_J Z$ with $\pi_* U = X$, we see, from relation (5), that

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla' - \nabla)_U(A) &= \Pi_{J_1}[D'_X - D_X, A] = 2\alpha(J_1 X)(-\lambda_2 J_3 + \lambda_3 J_2) \\ &= -2\alpha(J_1 X)J_1(\lambda_2 J_2 + \lambda_3 J_3) \\ &= 2(\mathcal{J}\pi^* \alpha)(U)J_1 A. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Remark: Recall that two holomorphic structures on a complex line bundle $V \rightarrow N$ over a complex manifold (N, J) are equivalent, if they are conjugated by an element of the gauge group $C^\infty(N, \mathbb{C}^*)$. Suppose now that V

has a hermitian structure. Let $\bar{\partial}^1$ and $\bar{\partial}^2$ be two holomorphic structures of V and let ∇^1 and ∇^2 be the corresponding Chern connections, with $(0, 1)$ -parts $\bar{\partial}^1$ and $\bar{\partial}^2$ respectively. Then $\bar{\partial}^1$ and $\bar{\partial}^2$ are equivalent if and only if

$$\nabla^2 = \nabla^1 + (d^J \log \rho - d\theta) \otimes \mathcal{J}, \quad (7)$$

where ρ is a positive smooth function, θ is a smooth function with values in S^1 and \mathcal{J} is the complex structure of the fibers of V . The connections ∇^1 and ∇^2 are equivalent as hermitian connections if $d^J \log \rho = 0$.

The following classification theorem holds:

Theorem 3. *Any holomorphic structure of Θ is equivalent with an holomorphic structure $\bar{\partial}^{D,\beta} := \bar{\partial}^D + \tilde{\beta}$, where $\bar{\partial}^D$ is the $(0, 1)$ -part of the Chern connection of Θ induced by a self-dual quaternionic connection D of (M, Q) , $\beta \in \Omega^1(M)$ has Q -hermitian exterior differential and $\tilde{\beta} \in \Omega^{0,1}(Z, \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Theta))$ is defined as follows: for any $U \in T_J Z$ with $\pi_* U = X$ and $s \in \Gamma(\Theta)$,*

$$\tilde{\beta}_U(s) := \frac{1}{2} (\beta(X) \mathcal{J} s - \beta(JX) s).$$

Moreover, two holomorphic structures $\bar{\partial}^{D,\beta}$ and $\bar{\partial}^{D',\beta'}$ are equivalent if and only if D and D' are equivalent as quaternionic connections of (M, Q) and $d^\beta := d + i\beta$ and $d^{\beta'} := d + i\beta'$ are equivalent as hermitian connections of the hermitian trivial line bundle $M \times \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. The proof follows the same steps as the proof of Theorem 1 of [6] (note that, our Proposition 1 corresponds to Proposition 2 of [6] and our Proposition 2 corresponds to Lemma 4 of [6]). Due to this, we content ourselves to explain why $\bar{\partial}^{D,\beta}$ is an holomorphic structure. Since $d\beta$ is Q -hermitian, the pull-back connection $d + i\pi^*\beta$ is a Chern connection on the hermitian trivial line bundle $Z \times \mathbb{C}$. Let ∇ be the Chern connection of Θ induced by a self-dual quaternionic connection D , as in Proposition 1. The tensor product connection $\nabla^\beta := \nabla \otimes (d + i\pi^*\beta) = \nabla + \pi^*\beta \otimes \mathcal{J}$ on $\Theta = \Theta \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}$ is also a Chern connection on Θ . It can be checked that its $(0, 1)$ -part is precisely $\bar{\partial}^{D,\beta}$. In particular, $\bar{\partial}^{D,\beta}$ is an holomorphic structure of Θ . □

Recall now that any two exact quaternionic connections are equivalent. The following Corollary is a consequence of Theorem 3.

Corollary 4. *The tangent vertical bundle of the twistor fibration of a quaternionic manifold (M, Q) has a canonical class of equivalent holomorphic structures, determined by the exact quaternionic connections of (M, Q) .*

4 A Penrose transform

We shall use the $E - H$ formalism developed in [10]. We begin with a brief review of some basic facts we shall need about the representation theory of the group $Sp(1)$. Let $H \cong \mathbb{C}^2$ be an abstract 2-dimensional complex vector space on which $Sp(1) \cong SU(2)$ acts by complex linear transformations, leaving invariant a complex symplectic form ω and a compatible quaternionic structure, i.e. a \mathbb{C} -anti-linear map $q : H \rightarrow H$, which satisfies $q^2 = -\text{Id}_H$, $\omega(qv, qw) = \overline{\omega(v, w)}$ and $\omega(v, qv) > 0$, for any $v, w \in H$. The 2-form ω together with q define an invariant hermitian positive definite metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle := \omega(\cdot, q\cdot)$ on H . By means of the identification $H \ni h \rightarrow \omega(h, \cdot) \in H$ between H and its dual H^* , $S^2(H) \subset H \otimes H \cong H^* \otimes H \subset \text{End}(H)$ acts on H and its real part (with respect to the real structure induced by q) is isomorphic to the Lie algebra $sp(1) \subset \text{End}(H)$ of imaginary quaternions. We also need to recall that $H \otimes S^2(H)$ has two $Sp(1)$ -irreducible components: $S^3(H)$, which is the kernel of the map $F : H \otimes S^2(H) \rightarrow H$ defined by

$$F(h, h_1 h_2 + h_2 h_1) = \omega(h, h_1) h_2 + \omega(h, h_2) h_1, \quad h_1, h_2, h \in H, \quad (8)$$

and H , isomorphic to the hermitian orthogonal of $S^3(H)$ in $H \otimes S^2(H)$ with respect to the hermitian metric of $H \otimes S^2(H)$ induced by the hermitian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of H (to simplify notations, we omit the tensor product signs, so that $h_1 h_2 + h_2 h_1$ denotes $h_1 \otimes h_2 + h_2 \otimes h_1$).

Coming back to geometry, the quaternionic structure of (M, Q) determines a $G = GL(n, \mathbb{H})Sp(1)$ structure F_0 , i.e. a G -subbundle of the principal frame bundle of M , consisting of all frames $f : T_p M \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n$ which convert the standard basis of imaginary quaternions, acting by multiplication on \mathbb{H}^n on the right, onto an admissible basis of Q_p , acting naturally on $T_p M$. Any representation of $\tilde{G} = GL(n, \mathbb{H}) \times Sp(1)$ determines a locally defined bundle over M , which is globally defined when the representation descends to G (in which case the bundle is associated to the principal G -bundle F_0). Real representations and real vector bundles over M will be automatically complexified. There are two locally defined complex vector bundles \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{H} on M , which are associated to the standard representations of $GL(n, \mathbb{H})$ and $Sp(1)$ on $E \cong \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ and $H \cong \mathbb{C}^2$ respectively, extended trivially to $GL(n, \mathbb{H}) \times Sp(1)$. The $Sp(1)$ -invariant structures of H induce similar structures on the bundle \mathbf{H} , which will be denoted with the same symbols (e.g. ω will denote the symplectic form of H as well as the induced symplectic form on the bundle \mathbf{H} ; in particular, we shall identify \mathbf{H} with its dual \mathbf{H}^* by means of the isomorphism $\mathbf{H} \ni h \rightarrow \omega(h, \cdot) \in \mathbf{H}^*$; similarly, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ will denote the hermitian inner product of H and the induced hermitian metric on the bundle \mathbf{H}). Some of the natural bundles over M are isomorphic with tensor products and direct

sums of \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{E} . For example, TM is isomorphic with $\mathbf{E} \otimes \mathbf{H}$, T^*M with $\mathbf{E}^* \otimes \mathbf{H}$, Q with $S^2(\mathbf{H})$ and the product $T^*M \otimes Q$ decomposes as

$$T^*M \otimes Q \cong \mathbf{E}^* \otimes \mathbf{H} \otimes S^2(\mathbf{H}) \cong \mathbf{E}^* \otimes S^3(\mathbf{H}) \oplus \mathbf{E}^* \otimes \mathbf{H}, \quad (9)$$

since $H \otimes S^2(H) \cong S^3(H) \oplus H$. The *Penrose operator* $P^D : \Gamma(Q) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbf{E}^* \otimes S^3(\mathbf{H}))$ defined by a quaternionic connection D of (M, Q) is the composition of $D : \Gamma(Q) \rightarrow \Gamma(T^*M \otimes Q)$ with the projection onto the first component of the decomposition (9).

Proposition 5. *Let D be a self-dual quaternionic connection on (M, Q) and $A \in \Gamma(Q)$. Then the distinguished section \tilde{A} of Θ is $\bar{\partial}^D$ -holomorphic if and only if A is a solution of the Penrose operator P^D .*

Proof. The section \tilde{A} is $\bar{\partial}^D$ -holomorphic if and only if it satisfies

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{J}U}(\tilde{A}) = \mathcal{J}\nabla_U(\tilde{A}), \quad \forall U \in TZ, \quad (10)$$

where ∇ is the Chern connection of Θ induced by D . Using relation (5), it can be seen that (10) is equivalent with

$$D_{JX}A - \langle D_{JX}A, J \rangle J - JD_XA - \langle D_XA, J \rangle \text{Id}_{TM} = 0, \quad \forall X \in TM, \quad \forall J \in Z.$$

For every unit $j \in sp(1) \subset \text{End}(E \otimes H)$ (acting trivially on E), define

$$T_j : E^* \otimes H^* \otimes S^2(H) \rightarrow E^* \otimes H^* \otimes S^2(H)$$

in the following way: for any $\gamma \in E^* \otimes H^* \otimes S^2(H)$ and $v \in E \otimes H$,

$$T_j(\gamma)(v) := \gamma(jv) - \langle \gamma(jv), j \rangle j - j \circ \gamma(v) - \langle \gamma(v), j \rangle \text{Id}_{E \otimes H}. \quad (11)$$

Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the hermitian inner product of $H \otimes H$ induced by the $Sp(1)$ -invariant hermitian inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of H , i.e.

$$\langle h_1 h_2, h_3 h_4 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle h_1, h_3 \rangle \langle h_2, h_4 \rangle, \quad \forall h_i \in H,$$

so that the restriction of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to $sp(1) \subset S^2(H)$ induces the natural Euclidian metric on the bundle Q (recall that Q is associated to the adjoint representation of $Sp(1)$ on its Lie algebra $sp(1)$, extended trivially to \hat{G}). The group $Sp(1)$ acts naturally on $H^* \otimes S^2(H) \subset H^* \otimes \text{End}(H)$, by

$$(a \cdot \alpha)(h) = a \circ \alpha(a^{-1}h) \circ a^{-1}, \quad a \in Sp(1), \quad \alpha \in H^* \otimes S^2(H), \quad h \in H.$$

We can extend this action to an action of \tilde{G} on $E^* \otimes H^* \otimes S^2(H)$, with $GL(n, \mathbb{H})$ acting naturally on E^* . This extended action preserves the \mathbb{C} -linear condition

$$T_j(\gamma) = 0, \quad \forall j \in sp(1), \quad j^2 = -\text{Id}_H \quad (12)$$

on $E^* \otimes H^* \otimes S^2(H)$, since

$$T_j(a \cdot \gamma)(v) = a \circ T_{j'}(\gamma)(a^{-1}v) \circ a^{-1}, \quad \forall a \in \tilde{G}, \quad \forall v \in E \otimes H,$$

where $j' := a^{-1} \circ j \circ a$. Since $E^* \otimes H$ and $E^* \otimes S^3(H)$ are \tilde{G} -irreducible components of $E^* \otimes H \otimes S^2(H)$ and there are distinguished sections of Θ which are not $\bar{\partial}^D$ -holomorphic, from Shur's lemma it is enough to check that any element of $E^* \otimes H$ satisfies (12). This can be done in the following way: let $e^*h \in E^* \otimes H$ be decomposable. Without loss of generality, we can take $\langle h, h \rangle = 1$. Define $\tilde{h} := q(h)$. Then the basis $\{h, \tilde{h}\}$ is unitary with respect $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\omega(h, \tilde{h}) = 1$. As an element of $E^* \otimes H \otimes S^2(H) \subset E^* \otimes H \otimes \text{End}(E \otimes H)$, e^*h has the following form

$$\gamma(v) = \left(2(e^*\tilde{h})(v)hh - (e^*h)(v)(\tilde{h}h + h\tilde{h}) \right) \text{Id}_E, \quad \forall v \in E \otimes H. \quad (13)$$

The identity endomorphism of H is $\text{Id}_H = h\tilde{h} - \tilde{h}h$ and a basis of unit imaginary quaternions can be chosen to be

$$\begin{aligned} j_1 &:= -i(h\tilde{h} + \tilde{h}h)\text{Id}_E \\ j_2 &:= -(hh + \tilde{h}\tilde{h})\text{Id}_E \\ j_3 &:= i(\tilde{h}\tilde{h} - hh)\text{Id}_E. \end{aligned}$$

Consider now $j = a_1j_1 + a_2j_2 + a_3j_3 \in sp(1)$ an arbitrary unit imaginary quaternion (so that the a_i 's are real and $a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 = 1$). Then, for every $v \in E \otimes H$,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(jv) &= 2hh \left(a_1i(e^*\tilde{h})(v) + (a_2 + ia_3)(e^*h)(v) \right) \text{Id}_E \\ &\quad + (h\tilde{h} + \tilde{h}h) \left(a_1i(e^*h)(v) + (a_2 - ia_3)(e^*\tilde{h})(v) \right) \text{Id}_E; \\ \langle \gamma(jv), j \rangle &= (-a_2 + ia_3) \left(a_1i(e^*\tilde{h})(v) + (a_2 + ia_3)(e^*h)(v) \right) \\ &\quad + a_1 \left(-a_1(e^*h)(v) + (a_3 + ia_2)(e^*\tilde{h})(v) \right); \\ j \circ \gamma(v) &= (e^*h)(v) \left((a_2 + ia_3)hh + (-a_2 + ia_3)\tilde{h}\tilde{h} + ia_1(h\tilde{h} - \tilde{h}h) \right) \text{Id}_E \\ &\quad + 2(e^*\tilde{h})(v) \left(ia_1hh + (a_2 - ia_3)h\tilde{h} \right) \text{Id}_E; \\ \langle \gamma(v), j \rangle &= (e^*\tilde{h})(v)(-a_2 + ia_3) - (e^*h)(v)ia_1. \end{aligned}$$

From these relations it is straightforward to check, using the definition of T_j given in (11), that $T_j(\gamma) = 0$.

□

5 A vanishing theorem

In this section we consider a quaternionic manifold (M, Q) which admits a compatible quaternionic-Kähler metric g , i.e. the Levi-Civita connection D^g of g is a quaternionic connection of (M, Q) and the endomorphisms of Q are skew-symmetric with respect to g . Let $g^* : T^*M \rightarrow TM$ be the isomorphism defined by g . Borrowing the terminology of [11], we define a conformal weight operator

$$B : T^*M \otimes Q \rightarrow T^*M \otimes Q$$

by the following formula:

$$B(\alpha \otimes A)(X) := [S_X^\alpha, A], \quad \forall \alpha \in T^*M, \quad \forall A \in Q, \quad \forall X \in TM, \quad (14)$$

where S^α was defined in (1). (A conformal weight operator has been defined in [6], for vector bundles on conformal manifolds, associated to the principal bundle of conformal frames, and in [11] for vector bundles associated to the reduced frame bundle of a Riemannian manifold with special holonomy). The following lemma is a straightforward calculation:

Lemma 6. *Let $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ be an admissible basis of Q . Then for every $\alpha \in T^*M$, $A \in Q$ and $X \in TM$,*

$$B(\alpha \otimes A)(X) = \alpha([J_1, A](X)) J_1 + \alpha([J_2, A](X)) J_2 + \alpha([J_3, A](X)) J_3.$$

Proposition 7. *Consider the decomposition (9) of $T^*M \otimes Q$. The conformal weight operator B acts as $-2 \cdot \text{Id}_{\mathbf{E}^* \otimes S^3(\mathbf{H})}$ on $\mathbf{E}^* \otimes S^3(\mathbf{H})$ and as $4 \cdot \text{Id}_{\mathbf{E}^* \otimes \mathbf{H}}$ on $\mathbf{E}^* \otimes \mathbf{H}$.*

Proof. Let $e^*h \in \Gamma(\mathbf{E}^* \otimes \mathbf{H})$ be a decomposable local section, with $\langle h, h \rangle = 1$. Define $\tilde{h} := q(h)$. As a section of $T^*M \otimes Q$, $e^*h = \alpha \otimes A + \tilde{\alpha} \otimes \tilde{A}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= 2hh\text{Id}_{\mathbf{E}} \in \Gamma(Q), \\ \tilde{A} &:= -(\tilde{h}h + h\tilde{h})\text{Id}_{\mathbf{E}} \in \Gamma(Q) \\ \alpha &:= e^*\tilde{h} \in \Omega^1(M) \\ \tilde{\alpha} &:= e^*h \in \Omega^1(M). \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Proposition 5, we consider the following admissible basis of Q :

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &:= -i(h\tilde{h} + \tilde{h}h)\text{Id}_{\mathbf{E}} \\
J_2 &:= -(hh + \tilde{h}\tilde{h})\text{Id}_{\mathbf{E}} \\
J_3 &:= i(\tilde{h}\tilde{h} - hh)\text{Id}_{\mathbf{E}}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check the equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
[J_1, A] &= -2i[h\tilde{h} + \tilde{h}h, hh]\text{Id}_{\mathbf{E}} = 4ihh\text{Id}_{\mathbf{E}} \\
[J_2, A] &= -2[hh + \tilde{h}\tilde{h}, hh]\text{Id}_{\mathbf{E}} = 2(h\tilde{h} + \tilde{h}h)\text{Id}_{\mathbf{E}} \\
[J_3, A] &= 2i[\tilde{h}\tilde{h} - hh, hh]\text{Id}_{\mathbf{E}} = -2i(h\tilde{h} + \tilde{h}h)\text{Id}_{\mathbf{E}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 6, we get that

$$B(\alpha \otimes A)(X) = -4(e^*h)(X)(h\tilde{h} + \tilde{h}h)\text{Id}_{\mathbf{E}} + 4(e^*\tilde{h})(X)hh\text{Id}_{\mathbf{E}}, \quad X \in TM.$$

A similar calculation shows that $B(\tilde{\alpha} \otimes \tilde{A})(X) = 4(e^*\tilde{h})(X)hh\text{Id}_{\mathbf{E}}$, which readily implies that

$$B(\alpha \otimes A + \tilde{\alpha} \otimes \tilde{A}) = 4(\alpha \otimes A + \tilde{\alpha} \otimes \tilde{A}),$$

i.e. that $\mathbf{E}^* \otimes \mathbf{H}$ is the eigenspace of B corresponding to the eigenvalue 4. In order to show that $\mathbf{E}^* \otimes S^3(\mathbf{H})$ is the eigenspace of B corresponding to the eigenvalue 2, we notice that $\mathbf{E}^* \otimes S^3(\mathbf{H}) \subset T^*M \otimes Q$ is locally generated by sections $\gamma_i^{e^*}$ (for $e^* \in \Gamma(\mathbf{E}^*)$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$) defined as follows: for every $X \in TM$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_1^{e^*}(X) &:= \left((e^*h)(X)(h\tilde{h} + \tilde{h}h) + (e^*\tilde{h})(X)hh \right) \text{Id}_{\mathbf{E}} \\
\gamma_2^{e^*}(X) &:= \left((e^*\tilde{h})(X)(h\tilde{h} + \tilde{h}h) + (e^*h)(X)\tilde{h}\tilde{h} \right) \text{Id}_{\mathbf{E}} \\
\gamma_3^{e^*}(X) &:= (e^*h)(X)hh\text{Id}_{\mathbf{E}} \\
\gamma_4^{e^*}(X) &:= (e^*\tilde{h})(X)\tilde{h}\tilde{h}\text{Id}_{\mathbf{E}}.
\end{aligned}$$

As before, one checks that $B(\gamma_i^{e^*}) = -2\gamma_i^{e^*}$, for every $e^* \in \Gamma(\mathbf{E}^*)$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$. The conclusion follows. \square

Proposition 8. *Let $D = D^g + S^\alpha$ be a quaternionic connection on a quaternionic-Kähler manifold (M, Q, g) , with $\alpha \in \Omega^1(M)$ a co-closed 1-form. Let $A \in \Gamma(Q)$ be a solution of the Penrose operator P^D . Then*

$$\langle \text{trace}_g(D^2 A), A \rangle = -2|A|^2 \left(\frac{1}{4(n+2)} \text{Scal}^g - 2|\alpha|^2 \right).$$

Proof. It is straightforward to check that $D \circ B = \tilde{B} \circ D$, where the connection D (on both sides of this equality) acts on $T^*M \otimes Q$ and $\tilde{B} := \text{Id}_{T^*M} \otimes B$ is an automorphism of $T^*M \otimes T^*M \otimes Q$. Define

$$\text{trace}_g(\tilde{B}) : T^*M \otimes T^*M \otimes Q \rightarrow Q$$

as follows: for any $A \in Q$, $\alpha, \beta \in T^*M$,

$$\text{trace}_g(\tilde{B})(\alpha \otimes \beta \otimes A) := \sum_{i=1}^{4n} \tilde{B}(\alpha \otimes \beta \otimes A)(e_i, e_i) = B(\beta \otimes A)(g^* \alpha),$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_{4n}\}$ is an arbitrary g -orthonormal basis of TM . Writing $A = a_1 J_1 + a_2 J_2 + a_3 J_3$ in terms of an admissible basis $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ of Q , we readily obtain, from Lemma 6, that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace}_g(\tilde{B})(D^2 A) &= \sum_{i < j} \left(g \left([J_1, R_{e_i, e_j}^D(A)] e_i, e_j \right) J_1 + g \left([J_2, R_{e_i, e_j}^D(A)] e_i, e_j \right) J_2 \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i < j} g \left([J_3, R_{e_i, e_j}^D(A)] e_i, e_j \right) J_3, \end{aligned}$$

where $R_{e_i, e_j}^D(A) = [R_{e_i, e_j}^D, A]$ is the commutator of the endomorphisms R_{e_i, e_j}^D and A of TM . In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{trace}_g(\tilde{B})(D^2 A), A \rangle &= \sum_{i < j} g \left([A, R_{e_i, e_j}^D(A)] e_i, e_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{i < j} \sum_{k, p} a_k a_p g \left([J_k, R_{e_i, e_j}^D(J_p)] e_i, e_j \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using relations (2) and (3) we readily get that, for every $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$,

$$\sum_{i < j} g \left([J_k, R_{e_i, e_j}^D(J_k)] e_i, e_j \right) = -8 \text{trace}_g(\eta)$$

and for every $k \neq p$,

$$\sum_{i < j} g \left([J_k, R_{e_i, e_j}^D(J_p)] e_i + [J_p, R_{e_i, e_j}^D(J_k)] e_i, e_j \right) = 0$$

from where we conclude that

$$\langle \text{trace}_g(\tilde{B})(D^2 A), A \rangle = -8|A|^2 \text{trace}_g(\eta). \quad (15)$$

Recall now that η is related to the Ricci curvature $\text{Ricci}(R^D)$ of D as in (4). According to Section 2, we can express $\text{Ricci}(R^D)$ in terms of α and the Ricci

tensor of g , so that, taking traces and using the fact that α is co-closed, we easily obtain the following relation:

$$\langle \text{trace}_g(\tilde{B})(D^2 A), A \rangle = -8|A|^2 \text{trace}_g(\eta) = -8|A|^2 \left(\frac{1}{4(n+2)} \text{Scal}^g - 2|\alpha|^2 \right). \quad (16)$$

On the other hand, from Proposition 7 and the very definition of the Penrose operator,

$$\tilde{B}(D^2 A) = D \circ B(DA) = 4D^2 A - 6D(P^D A).$$

In particular, if $A \in \Gamma(Q)$ is a solution of the Penrose operator, then $P^D A = 0$ and

$$\langle \text{trace}_g(D^2 A), A \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \langle \text{trace}_g(\tilde{B})(D^2 A), A \rangle = -2|A|^2 \left(\frac{1}{4(n+2)} \text{Scal}^g - 2|\alpha|^2 \right). \quad (17)$$

□

We now restrict to the situation when (M, Q, g) is a compact quaternionic-Kähler manifold of negative or zero scalar curvature. An important class of compact quaternionic-Kähler manifolds of negative scalar curvature can be constructed in the following way [1]: take a non-compact symmetric quaternionic-Kähler manifold $M = G/K$, which is dual to a Wolf space. The non-compact simple Lie group G has a torsion free co-compact discrete subgroup Γ . Then the double quotient M/Γ is a compact quaternionic-Kähler manifold of negative scalar curvature.

Theorem 9. *Let D be a quaternionic connection on a compact quaternionic-Kähler manifold (M, Q, g) , such that $D = D^g + S^\alpha$, where α is co-closed. If $\text{Scal}^g < 0$ (respectively, $\text{Scal}^g = 0$ and $\alpha \neq 0$ at any point of a dense subset of M) then P^D has no non-trivial global solutions.*

Proof. Choose an admissible basis $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ of Q and recall the formula

$$D_X A = D_X^g A + B(\alpha \otimes A)(X) = D_X^g A + \sum_{j=1}^3 \alpha([J_j, A](X)) J_j, \quad (18)$$

which relates D and D^g when they act on the bundle Q (above $A \in \Gamma(Q)$)

and $X \in TM$). Using relation (18) it is straightforward to check that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (D^2 A)_{X,X}, A \rangle &= \langle (D^g)^2(A)_{X,X}, A \rangle + \alpha([A, D_X^g A](X)) - 2\alpha(X) \langle D_X^g A, A \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^3 [X(\alpha([J_j, A](X))) \langle J_j, A \rangle + \alpha([J_j, A](X)) \langle D_X J_j, A \rangle] \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{j=1}^3 \alpha(J_j X) \langle D_{J_j X}^g A, A \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing a g -orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_{4n}\}$ of TM and letting $X := e_i$ in the previous relation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{trace}_g(D^2 A), A \rangle &= \langle \text{trace}_g(D^g)^2 A, A \rangle + 4 \langle D_{g^* \alpha}^g A, A \rangle + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{4n} \alpha([A, D_{e_j}^g A]e_j) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i,j=1}^{4n} \alpha([J_j, A]e_i)^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, again from relation (18), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle DA, DA \rangle &:= \sum_{i=1}^{4n} \langle D_{e_i} A, D_{e_i} A \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{4n} (\langle D_{e_i}^g A, D_{e_i}^g A \rangle + 2\alpha([D_{e_i}^g A, A]e_i)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^{4n} \alpha([J_j, A]e_i)^2 \\ &= \langle D^g A, D^g A \rangle + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{4n} \alpha([D_{e_i}^g A, A]e_i) + \sum_{i,j=1}^{4n} \alpha([J_j, A]e_i)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above relations, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{trace}_g(D^2 A), A \rangle &= \langle \text{trace}_g(D^g)^2(A), A \rangle + 4 \langle D_{g^* \alpha}^g A, A \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle D^g A, D^g A \rangle - \langle DA, DA \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now that $P^D A = 0$. Using Proposition 8, this relation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \langle DA, DA \rangle - \langle D^g A, D^g A \rangle - 4 \langle D_{g^* \alpha}^g A, A \rangle - \langle \text{trace}_g(D^g)^2 A, A \rangle \\ = 2|A|^2 \left(\frac{1}{4(n+2)} \text{Scal}^g - 2|\alpha|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating over M and using $\int_M \langle D_{g^* \alpha}^g A, A \rangle \text{vol}_g = 0$, the 1-form α being co-closed, we get

$$\int_M \langle DA, DA \rangle \text{vol}_g + 4 \int_M |A|^2 |\alpha|^2 \text{vol}_g - \frac{1}{2(n+2)} \text{Scal}^g \int_M |A|^2 \text{vol}_g = 0. \quad (19)$$

Our claim readily follows from (19). \square

As an application of Theorem 9 we state:

Corollary 10. *Let D be a closed quaternionic connection on a compact quaternionic-Kähler manifold (M, Q, g) . If $\text{Scal}^g < 0$ (respectively, $\text{Scal}^g = 0$ and D is not exact) then Θ has no global non-trivial $\bar{\partial}^D$ -holomorphic sections.*

Proof. Let us consider an arbitrary $\bar{\partial}^D$ -holomorphic section s of Θ . As in [6], we can prove that $s = \tilde{A} + \mathcal{J}\tilde{B}$, for two sections $A, B \in \Gamma(Q)$. It can be checked that $\bar{s} = -\tilde{A} + \mathcal{J}\tilde{B}$ is also $\bar{\partial}^D$ -holomorphic, from where we deduce that both \tilde{A} and \tilde{B} are $\bar{\partial}^D$ -holomorphic. Therefore, to prove our claim it is enough to show that there are no global non-trivial $\bar{\partial}^D$ -holomorphic distinguished sections of Θ . The Levi-Civita connection D^g is exact, and hence $D = D^g + S^\alpha$, for some closed 1-form $\alpha \in \Omega^1(M)$. From Theorem 3, the holomorphic structure $\bar{\partial}^D$ depends (up to isomorphism) only on the cohomology class of α . Hence, without loss of generality, we can take α to be harmonic. Note that $\alpha \neq 0$ when $\text{Scal}^g = 0$. Recall now that an harmonic non-trivial 1-form on a connected Riemannian manifold cannot vanish identically on an open subset of that manifold [3]. We conclude from Proposition 5 and Theorem 9. \square

Remark: We now generalize Corollary 10 to positive tensor powers of the tangent vertical bundle Θ (this will also provide an alternative proof of Corollary 10, which does not use the Penrose transform of Proposition 5). More precisely, in the setting of Corollary 10 we prove that any tensor power Θ^s (where $s \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$) admits no global non-trivial $\bar{\partial}^D$ -holomorphic sections. As above, we can suppose that $D = D^g + S^\alpha$ with α harmonic. On the twistor space Z of (M, Q) we define a Riemannian metric h_g^D by the following conditions: the horizontal space H^D determined by the connection D , acting on $\pi : Z \rightarrow M$, is h_g^D -orthogonal to the twistor lines; h_g^D , restricted to any horizontal subspace H_J^D (identified with $T_{\pi(J)}M$ by means of π_*), coincides with $g_{\pi(J)}$; h_g^D restricted to the twistor lines coincides with the standard metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of the twistor lines. Clearly, the pair (h_g^D, \mathcal{J}) is Hermitian. We are interested in its torsion form $t_g^D \in \Omega^1(Z)$, defined by

$$t_g^D(\cdot) := - \sum_{k=1}^{4n+2} d\Omega_g^D(E_k, \mathcal{J}E_k, \cdot)$$

where $\Omega_g^D := h_g^D(\mathcal{J}\cdot, \cdot)$ is the Kähler form and $\{E_1, \dots, E_{4n+2}\}$ is a local h_g^D -orthonormal frame of Z .

Lemma 11. *The torsion form t_g^D is equal to $8\pi^*\alpha$ and is co-closed.*

Proof. Like in Lemma 12 of [6], we have the following expression for $d\Omega_g^D$:

$$\begin{aligned} d\Omega_g^D(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{V}) &= (D_X g)(V, JY) + (D_Y g)(X, JV) + (D_V g)(Y, JX) \\ d\Omega_g^D(a, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) &= g(aX, Y) - \langle [R_{X,Y}^D, J], Ja \rangle \\ d\Omega_g^D(a, b, c) &= 0 \\ d\Omega_g^D(a, b, \tilde{X}) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{V} \in H_J^D$ project to $X, Y, V \in T_p Z$ (here $p := \pi(J)$) and $a, b, c \in T_J Z_p$. Since $D = D^g + S^\alpha$,

$$\begin{aligned} (D_X g)(Y, V) &= -2\alpha(X)g(Y, V) - \alpha(Y)g(X, V) - \alpha(V)g(X, Y) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^3 [\alpha(J_i Y)g(J_i X, V) + \alpha(J_i V)g(J_i X, Y)], \end{aligned}$$

where $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ is an admissible basis of Q ; we have similar expressions for $(D_Y g)(X, JV)$ and $(D_V g)(Y, JZ)$. Using these observations, it is straightforward to show that $t_g^D(\tilde{X}) = 8\alpha(X)$. Moreover, if we chose the admissible basis of Q such that $J = J_1$, then any $a \in T_J Z_p$ is of the form $a = \lambda_2 J_2 + \lambda_3 J_3$ for $\lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \mathbb{R}$. Using relations (2) and (3), we get:

$$t_g^D(a) = \sum_{k=1}^{4n} \langle [R_{X_k, JX_k}^D, J], Ja \rangle = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{k=1}^{4n} (\lambda_2 \text{tr}(J_2 R_{X_k, JX_k}^\eta) + \lambda_3 \text{tr}(J_3 R_{X_k, JX_k}^\eta)),$$

where $R^D = W + R^\eta$ (see Section 2.1) and $\{X_1, \dots, X_{4n}\}$ is a g -orthonormal basis of $T_p M$. Using the definition of R^η one can check that, for any $i \in \{2, 3\}$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{4n} \text{tr}(J_i R_{X_k, JX_k}^\eta) = 8n \sum_{k=1}^{4n} \eta(JX_k, J_i X_k). \quad (20)$$

Since D is closed, η is symmetric and the right hand side of (20) is zero. Therefore, $t_g^D(a) = 0$ and we can conclude that $t_g^D = 8\pi^*\alpha$. Moreover, t_g^D is co-closed since α is co-closed and $\pi : (Z, h_g^D) \rightarrow (M, g)$ is a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibers. \square

We conclude the proof of our claim, by showing that

$$\int_Z \langle \gamma^D, \Omega_g^D \rangle_{h_g^D} \text{vol}_{h_g^D} < 0, \quad (21)$$

where $\gamma^D := -\frac{1}{2\pi i} R^\nabla$ is the Chern form of Θ , endowed with its standard Hermitian structure and Chern connection ∇ induced by D , and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{h_g^D}$ is the inner product on 2-forms (or bivectors) on Z , defined, in our conventions, by

$$\langle V_1 \wedge W_1, V_2 \wedge W_2 \rangle_{h_g^D} = h_g^D(V_1, V_2) h_g^D(W_1, W_2) - h_g^D(V_1, W_2) h_g^D(V_2, W_1).$$

Since the pair (h_g^D, \mathcal{J}) is standard (i.e. t_g^D is co-closed) relation (21) will insure that Θ^s (for any $s \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$) has no non-trivial global $\bar{\partial}^D$ -holomorphic sections (see [7], p. 504 and the argument used in [6], p. 611). To prove (21), we notice that γ^D , at a point $J \in Z_p$, has the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^D(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) &= \frac{1}{4n\pi} \text{tr}(JR_{X,Y}^D) \\ \gamma^D(a, b) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \Omega_p(a, b) \\ \gamma^D(\tilde{X}, a) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where, we recall, Ω_p is the Kähler form of the twistor line Z_p . The inner product $\langle \gamma^D, \Omega_g^D \rangle_{h_g^D}$ at J is the sum of the inner products of the vertical parts of γ^D and Ω_g^D , which is equal to $\frac{1}{2\pi}$, and of their horizontal parts, which is equal to $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{4n} \gamma^D(\widetilde{X_k}, \widetilde{JX_k})$. We easily get that

$$\langle \gamma^D, \Omega_g^D \rangle_{h_g^D} = \frac{1}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{4(n+2)\pi} (\text{Scal}^g - 8(n+2)|\alpha|_g^2).$$

It follows that (21) holds if and only if

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{4(n+2)\pi \text{vol}_g(M)} \int_M (\text{Scal}^g - 8(n+2)|\alpha|_g^2) \text{vol}_g < 0, \quad (22)$$

where $\text{vol}_g(M) = \int_M \text{vol}_g$ denotes the total volume of M with respect to g . Since $\text{Scal}^g \leq 0$ and $\alpha \neq 0$ when $\text{Scal}^g = 0$, we can make relation (22) to hold, by replacing, if necessary, g with tg , where $t > 0$ is sufficiently small. This concludes the proof of our claim.

Acknowledgements: I am the holder of a junior research fellowship at Centro di Ricerca Matematica “Ennio de Giorgi”, Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa. Hospitality and stimulating working environment during my visit in May 2006 at Centre de Mathematiques “Laurent Schwartz” of Ecole Polytechnique (Palaiseau) is also acknowledged.

References

- [1] D. V. Alekseevsky: *Private communication*.
- [2] D. V. Alekseevsky, S. Marchiafava, Quaternionic structures on a manifold and subordinated structures, *Ann. Mat. Pura Apl.*, IV, vol. CLXXX (1996), p. 205-273.
- [3] N. Aronszajn: A unique continuation theorem for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations or inequalities of second order, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 36 (1957), p. 235-249.
- [4] A. L. Besse: *Einstein manifolds* (Springer-Verlag, 1987).
- [5] M. Capria, S. Salamon: Yang-Mills fields on quaternionic spaces, *Non-linearity* 1 (1988), p. 517-530.
- [6] P. Gauduchon: Structures de Weyl et theoremes d'annulation sur une variete conforme autoduale, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa*, Scienze Fisiche e Matematiche, serie IV, fasc. 4 (1991), p. 563-628.
- [7] P. Gauduchon: La 1-forme de torsion d'une variete hermitienne compacte, *Math. Ann.* 267 (1984), p. 495-518.
- [8] Y. Nagatomo, T. Nitta: Vanishing theorems for quaternionic complexes, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 29 (1997), p. 359-366.
- [9] M. Pontecorvo: Complex structures on quaternionic manifolds, *Diff. Geom. Appl.* 4 (1994), p. 163 - 177.
- [10] S. Salamon: Differential geometry of quaternionic manifolds, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.*, 4^e serie, t. 19, (1986), p. 31-55.
- [11] U. Semmelmann: Killing forms on G_2 – and $Spin_7$ – manifolds, *J. Geom. Phys.*, vol. 56, 9, (2006).